

Faculty Senate Meeting Minutes 1-19-2016

Tyrrell calls the meeting to order 5:35pm

1. Approval of December minutes. Motion to vote by Lechner. Approved by unanimous consent.

2. Fall Graduation Report and Resolution: PDF of report had been circulated by email. Report included survey of faculty, staff and students. Faculty and Staff had good response rates and were in favor of one graduation ceremony in the spring. Students not respond as well; when responding, they leaned towards those who had a vested interest in fall graduation since it was their expected graduation time at a ratio of 3:1. Resolution to eliminate fall graduation would not need a second since it comes from the senate executive committee. Open to Discussion. Clarification of who wrote different parts of the report including Michelle McDonald, Geoff Gust, and Brian Tyrrell. It appears that the key constituents of Alumni and Foundation not really surveyed. Administration & Finance committee used target list of fall graduation participants among the staff. Concern that moving graduation to larger off campus facility might increase cost. Administration & Finance investigated that a larger off campus facility would be too expensive. Bonding for Big Blue requires graduation ceremonies be held there. Further concern with wording of resolution that senate is an advisory to provost and president but ample precedent of using this phrasing in past resolutions. Will revisit phrasing for next resolution. Jackson calls to vote. Bulevich seconded. Motion carries unanimously.

3. Portal Faculty Pictures Resolution: Kesselman asked Heinrich to look into issue of faculty photographs on Stockton website. The *Who Who's* directory book canceled by Herman Saatkamp. The online directory then went to faculty opt in for photos policy, few did opted in so currently only about 25% of faculty pictures on the website. This proposal calls for faculty to opt out of pictures. The pictures will only be available through the portal so need formal affiliation with Stockton to access it. In addition to this resolution, in the coming weeks will see an email request for faculty to opt in for "front of house" meaning publically available pictures. Open to Questions: Will there be an effort to update faculty images and not just use original ID photo since some are from 20 years ago? Yes, they appear to take submitted pictures from faculty not just ID photo. Resolution sates "through the portal" not just "on campus" but question whether such restriction is necessary. Resolution clarified by inserting "secured" before "pictoral directory" in last sentence. Reason for portal login necessary: trying to protect faculty ID as much as possible. Motion to strike out "on-campus" before "protected" in final paragraph by Mukherjee. Jackson Seconded. Discussion. Continued questioning exact rational as to why student photos so heavily protected so why not apply reasoning to faculty photos. Heinrich response to concerns: Policy on photo protections originally predate ability to login from off campus. Issue can be revisited. Actually did not intend for the "on-campus" to be in the resolution. Access to faculty photos would not be in the student stream, just faculty and staff access for now but can open it up. Main intent is to get the most amount of pictures so whatever is in best interest of faculty is ok with Heinrich. Speakers favor of motion stressing the opt-out ability. Faculty would like

ability to see student photos off-campus. Ask if no objections to motion. Jackson call to question, seconded. Approved by unanimous consent.

4. First and Second Reading Resolution: Issue brought up at last meeting with reminder of the resolution's key point on major and minor motions. Correction to insert word "policy" on second page 3a after "proposal" in both instances. Schindler call to vote on amendment for correction, seconded. Passed by unanimous acclamation, no objections. Resolution does affect the timeline for proposals; they must come earlier in the fall semester such as November senate meeting deadline in order to make it to NJ state in time. Must work on proposal prior to summer most likely in spring semester before that November meeting. Guidelines for Academic Policy & Procedure can't anticipate issues that may come up in the senate so suggest that APP will invite senate to come to meetings to review for possible issues early in the process and not wait for formal senate review. Amend introduction paragraph of section 3 to include phrase indicating exceptions in 3c are possible. Discussion of how much due diligence protected with fast track option. $\frac{3}{4}$ seems reasonable protection as well as senate executive committee recommendation. Fast-track useful, for example the putting together of the Atlantic City task force when Saatkamp bought the Showboat casino. Fast-tracking not debatable as resolution written. Fahey makes formal motion amend to eliminate "not debatable" in 3c, Cox seconded. No objections, passed by unanimous consent. Taneja motion to amend to include 3c phrase. Approved by unanimous consent. Jackson call to question for resolution. Approved by unanimous consent.
5. Dual Credit Task Force Report and Recommendations: York presents dual credit report. Reminder that senate can't vote until March since February meeting taken by President's address. The union plans on distributing survey to membership since it has been requested that the union engage in certain negotiations with administration with regard to dual credit program. NJ state resolution requires higher education institutions to enter into dual credit programs with high schools. Students take college level courses with college credit while at high school which will be taught by high school instructors. Dual credit courses will aligned with Stockton's equivalent courses and be overseen by Stockton faculty, termed "coaches." SFT concern with compensation for coaches and employment. In Academic Year 2014-15, there were 536 students across 17 high schools enrolled in non-matriculating courses through dual credit. Enrollments center on Math and Language with some science. Total number of dual credit has been limited, partnership not appropriate for all programs but some do benefit. Conclusion of analyzing data is in report recommendations. Committee initially given a fact finding mission but in May given charge to make recommendations by December. Recommendation to endorse dual credit and work with liaisons/coaches to work with schools. Academic program should have final authority whether to enter or dissolve dual credit program. Recommend to SFT and Senate that it should be a long term agreement so it is stable; necessary for recruiting students, planning courses and which schools participate, etc. Open to questions: Section 5c not limited to specific counties so how wide ranging would program be in accepting school participation. New state agreement limits Stockton to closest counties but prior agreements did not. There is a subgroup of dissatisfied liaisons and instructors because of either lack of contact or structure. What is done to centralize

administration of this and avoid lack of contact? Regular survey of everyone involved to make sure program is running efficiently? Rigorous testing schedule for high schools limited ability of Stockton faculty to have contact with instructors. Changed testing structure in high schools should help to alleviate these concerns. Skip patterns in survey data due to nested questions so answering survey questions depending on answer to previous shield questions. Stress not training high school teachers to become college instructors or having college instructors become high school teachers; it's a collaboration.

Motion to adjourn by Jackson. Nolan seconded. Approved by unanimous consent.
Meeting adjourned at 5:58pm.